

## **Nation Energy Policy**

### **A Report of the National Energy Policy Development Group (V.P. Dick Cheney)**

#### **Reliable, Affordable, and Environmentally Sound Energy for America's Future**

Highlights of items relating to NUCLEAR ENERGY:

"Nuclear power today accounts for 20 percent of our country's electricity. This power source, which causes no greenhouse gas emissions, can play an expanding part in our energy future." (p. xi)

"Implement administrative and "Nuclear power plants serve millions of American homes and businesses, have a dependable record for safety and efficiency, and discharge no greenhouse gases into the atmosphere. As noted earlier, these facilities currently generate 20 percent of all electricity in America, and more than 40 percent of electricity generated in 10 states in the Northeast, South, and Midwest. Other nations, such as Japan and France, generate a much higher percentage of their electricity from nuclear power. Yet the number of nuclear plants in America is actually projected to decline in coming years, as old plants close and none are built to re-place them." (p. xiii)

"Provide for the safe expansion of nuclear energy by establishing a national repository for nuclear waste, and by streamlining the licensing of nuclear power plants." (p. xiv)

#### "Nuclear Energy

Nuclear energy is the second-largest source (20 percent) of U.S. electricity generation. Nuclear power is used exclusively to generate electricity. Nuclear power has none of the emissions associated with coal and gas power plants, including nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxide, mercury and carbon dioxide. Costs of electricity generation by nuclear plants compare favorably with the costs of generation by other sources.

While the number of nuclear plants has declined due to retirements, nuclear electricity generation has steadily increased in recent years. Several factors have created a more favorable environment for nuclear energy: safe, standardized plant designs; an improved licensing process; effective safety oversight by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC); the advent of new technologies; and uncertain, volatile natural gas prices. This more favorable environment has resulted in increased re-licensing of nuclear plants and the

consolidation of several plants in the hands of fewer, more experienced operators.

The nuclear industry is closely regulated by the NRC, which provides oversight of the operation and maintenance of these plants. This oversight includes a comprehensive inspection program that focuses on the most significant potential risks of plant operations, and features full-time resident inspectors at each plant, as well as regional inspectors with specialized expertise. In addition to rigorous inspection criteria, the installation of new design features, improvements in operating experience, nuclear safety research, and operator training have all contributed to the nuclear industry's strong safety record.

An important challenge to the use of nuclear energy is the issue of safe and timely long-term storage of spent nuclear fuel and high- and low-level radioactive waste. Currently, no plans exist to construct any new nuclear plants. However, due to more favorable conditions, the decline in nuclear energy generation has not been as rapid as was predicted only a few years ago, as evidenced by increased re-licensing." (pp. 1-6, 1-7)

"Clean energy can be generated from nuclear plants, hydropower facilities, wind farms, and solar energy systems with negligible (if any) air emissions. These sources today make up about 30 per-cent of our electricity supply. " (pp. 3-4)

"Nuclear power plants present waste management challenges unique among energy-generating technologies. They generate spent fuel, as well as other radioactive waste, which must be isolated from ecosystems and human contact for long periods of time. Currently, spent fuel is stored at reactor sites in a number of states, although capacity is limited. Newer technologies have been developed to reduce the volume and increase the manageability of spent fuel, but such spent fuel will still require safe handling and long-term isolation. While the federal government has the responsibility to address such high-level wastes, states have the responsibility to address low-level wastes from nuclear plants, such as clothing and equipment. Disposal options for this type of radioactive waste are limited, because siting these facilities has been controversial. In fact, there are only three disposal facilities active in the United States." (pp. 3-9, 3-10)

"Since the advent of commercial nuclear power generation, there have been no radiation-related injuries or deaths associated with the operation of a commercial nuclear power plant in the United States. The most significant incident from a nuclear plant in the United States, at Three Mile Island in 1979, prompted improved safety regulation of nuclear plants. New nuclear

reactor designs promise even higher safety levels than the reactors currently operating in this country.

Radiation exposure from nuclear facilities is extremely rare. In fact, roughly 82 percent of human exposure to radiation comes from natural sources: radon gas; the human body, which contains radioactive elements; outer space; and rocks and soil. Radon accounts for about 55 percent of our exposure to natural sources of radiation; radioactive elements in our own bodies account for 11 percent; rocks and soil account for 11 percent; and outer space, including the sun, accounts for 8 percent. The remaining 18 percent of average human radiation exposure comes from man-made sources, primarily medical and dental X-rays and consumer products.

The safety of U.S. nuclear energy plants has improved sharply in recent years. A safe nuclear energy plant is one that runs well, experiences few unplanned outages, and has a well-disciplined work force that follows procedures and avoids accidents. The safety of a U.S. nuclear energy plant is typically gauged by monitoring indicators of its performance in these areas: unplanned automatic reactor shutdowns, the annual percentage of possible power generated, and the industrial safety accident rate for plant workers.

In 2000, for the fourth year in a row, the number of unscheduled reactor shut-downs was zero. The industry generated 91.1 percent of its potential maximum out-put, breaking its 1999 record of 88.7 per-cent, far better than the typical 80 percent number of ten years ago.

Today, U.S. nuclear plants are more efficient and safer than ever. In the increasingly deregulated marketplace, competition has forced improvements in plant operations that have benefited safety performance as much as economic performance." (p. 3-10)

Energy for a New Century: increasing domestic energy supplies  
Nuclear Energy

"Nuclear energy accounts for 20 percent of all U.S. electricity generation, and more than 40 percent of the electricity generation in ten states in the Northeast, the South, and the Midwest. Despite the closure of several less efficient plants during the 1990s, the 103 U.S. nuclear energy plants currently operating produce more electricity today than at any time in history.

There are a number of reasons why nuclear energy expansion halted in the 1980s. Regulatory changes implemented after the Three Mile Island incident in 1979 lengthened the licensing period to an average of fourteen years,

resulting in large cost overruns. Increased public concern about the safety of nuclear energy after the accident often resulted in active opposition to proposed plants. As a result, the last completed nuclear energy plant in the United States was ordered in 1973.

Since the 1980s, the performance of nuclear energy plant operations has substantially improved. While U.S. nuclear energy plants once generated electricity only around 70 percent of the time, the average plant today is generating electricity close to 90 percent. This improved performance has lowered the cost of nuclear generation, which is now competitive with other sources of electricity (Figure 5-6).

There is potential for even greater generation from existing nuclear energy plants. Experts estimate that 2,000 MW could be added from existing nuclear power plants by increasing operating performance to 92 percent. In addition, about 12,000 MW of additional nuclear electricity generation could be derived from uprating U.S. nuclear power plants, a process that uses new technologies and methods to increase rated power levels without decreasing safety. However, modifications to uprate plants can be expensive and require extensive licensing review and approval by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). Another way to increase nuclear generation from existing plants is through license renewal. Many nuclear utilities are planning to extend the operating license of existing nuclear plants by twenty years, and the licenses of as many as 90 percent of the currently operating nuclear plants may be renewed.

The nuclear energy industry is closely regulated by the NRC, which provides rigorous oversight of the operation and maintenance of these plants. This oversight includes a comprehensive inspection program that focuses on the most significant potential risks of plant operations and features full-time resident inspectors at each plant, as well as regional inspectors with specialized expertise. The NRC has made great strides to provide greater regulatory certainty while maintaining high safety standards.

The installation of new design features, improvements in operating experience, nuclear safety research, and operator training have all contributed to the strong safety record of the nuclear energy industry. Since the Three Mile Island incident in 1979, the nuclear industry's safety record has significantly improved. This safety record has been achieved through a defense-in-depth philosophy accomplished by way of engineering design, quality construction, safe operation, and emergency planning. This philosophy provides for diverse and redundant systems to prevent accidents from occurring, as well as multiple safety barriers to mitigate the effects of accidents in the highly unlikely event they do occur.

Over the last several years, utilities have begun purchasing nuclear plants from other operators as the industry undergoes consolidation. Several nuclear utilities have merged, creating management teams with extensive expertise in running and maintaining nuclear plants. These mergers are impeded by tax rules relating to the transfer of decommissioning funds.

Utilities are also considering nuclear energy as an option for new generation. The NRC has certified three standardized nuclear power plant designs, and Congress enacted legislation in 1992 to reform the nuclear licensing process. Under this process, a utility can apply for a combined construction and operating license for one of these standardized designs in a streamlined process. This reformed licensing process provides for site permits— a way to resolve siting issues early in the process. Building new generators on existing sites avoids many complex issues associated with building plants on new sites. Many U.S. nuclear plant sites were designed to host four to six reactors, and most operate only two or three; many sites across the country could host additional plants.

Advanced reactor technology promises to improve nuclear safety. One example of an advanced reactor design is the gas-cooled, pebble-bed reactor, which has inherent safety features. The industry has an interest in this and other advanced reactor designs.

The federal government must also provide for the safe disposal of nuclear waste. At present, nuclear waste continues to be stored at local plant sites. The Department of Energy is over a decade behind schedule for accepting nuclear waste from utilities, but has made progress toward characterization of the Yucca Mountain, Nevada site. Construction of an exploratory studies facility has been completed, a viability assessment was published, and recently scientists placed their extensive research about Yucca Mountain on the record for public scrutiny. However, key regulatory standards to protect public health and the environment at the repository have not been issued.

The Administration will continue to study the science to determine whether to proceed with the consideration of this site as the location for the repository. If the Administration decides to proceed, the Department of Energy must file a license application with the NRC. No waste will be sent to any location until the NRC determines it to be safe. Other countries have developed different approaches for nuclear waste disposal. For example, the French, British and Japanese rely on reprocessing, an industrial approach that separates nuclear waste into usable fuel and highly concentrated waste. While this approach does not obviate the need for geologic disposal of nuclear waste, it could significantly optimize the use of a geologic repository. There is

growing interest in new technology known as accelerator transmutation, which could be used in combination with reprocessing to reduce the quantity and toxicity of nuclear waste.

**Recommendations:**

The NEPD Group recommends that the President support the expansion of nuclear energy in the United States as a major component of our national energy policy. Following are specific components of the recommendation:

- Encourage the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to ensure that safety and environmental protection are high priorities as they prepare to evaluate and expedite applications for licensing new advanced-technology nuclear reactors.
- Encourage the NRC to facilitate efforts by utilities to expand nuclear energy generation in the United States by uprating existing nuclear plants safely.
- Encourage the NRC to re-license existing nuclear plants that meet or exceed safety standards.
- Direct the Secretary of Energy and the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency to assess the potential of nuclear energy to improve air quality.
- Increase resources as necessary for nuclear safety enforcement in light of the potential increase in generation.
- Use the best science to provide a deep geologic repository for nuclear waste.
- Support legislation clarifying that qualified funds set aside by plant owners for eventual decommissioning will not be taxed as part of the transaction.
- Support legislation to extend the Price–Anderson Act.

The NEPD Group recommends that, in the context of developing advanced nuclear fuel cycles and next generation technologies for nuclear energy, the United States should reexamine its policies to allow for research, development and deployment of fuel conditioning methods (such as pyroprocessing) that reduce waste streams and enhance proliferation resistance. In doing so, the United States will continue to discourage the accumulation of separated plutonium, worldwide.

The United States should also consider technologies, in collaboration with international partners with highly developed fuel cycles and a record of close cooperation, to develop reprocessing and fuel treatment technologies that are cleaner, more efficient, less waste-intensive, and more proliferation-resistant."